Approved For Release 2002/05/07 CIA DOIC COUNTY LONG TO COMMITTEE SUM Approved For Release 2002/05/07 CIA-RDP74B00415R000600010003-8 They had asked for a special investigative committee. But Representative Andrew Jacobs, PB-loak theory 1966, in a letter of the provided by the provide To Trip By Douglas

Connection Drawn In Report By House Panel Which Rejected Charges Against Justice

By ADAM CLYMER Washington Bureau of The Sun

Washington, Dec. 15-Justice Mich.), a dissenter, complained preme Court was working on a project backed by the Central ment; a house subcommittee report indicated today.

It was not clear whether Justhat the CIA supported the program to teach reading through educational television. The CIA. through various conduit foundations, was supporting institutions and individuals also working on the project.

Hint Of Wrongdoing

Representative Gerald R. Ford (R., Mich.), the House minority leader, hinted in April that Justice Douglas's 1963 trips to the Dominican Republic were connected with efforts by organ-

The subcommittee rejected this suggestion and all others that he favored further probing. that were made by congressmen peached.

The subcommittee rejected allegations that Justice Douglas 1939. had associated with professional gamblers, acted improperly—including giving legal advice— as a "whitewash" and said he
in respect to an educational, would support an investigation foundation he headed, or had advocated revolution in his re-cent book, "Points of Rebellion."

The foundation was financed; indirectly, but most of the money came from Nevada gambling lincome.

The conclusion -- that the justice had not done wrong-was the view of the subcommittee's Democratic majority which included Chairman Emanuel Celler (D., N.Y.) and Representatives Jack Brooks (D., Texas) and Byron G. Rogers (D., Colo.).

The vote was announced last week, but the report was only released today. In it, Represent-| Southern Democrats. ative Edward Hutchinson (R.,

. William O. Douglas of the Su- that the committee should have taken testimony under oath.

He said that an inquiry should Intelligence Agency during a focus on charges that the justice Latin American trip cited by his gave legal advice to the Albert foes as a cause for his impeach. Parvin Foundation and to the government of the Dominican Republic.

Mr. Hutchinson said the comtice Douglas knew at the time mittee also should have considered whether censure or some other action short of impeachment-which requires a majority in the House and a two-thirds Senate vote - was warranted by the record.

Case Significant

He said he also thought a case where Justice Douglas wrote immigration authorities. on behalf of an Iraqi Kurd whom the justice did not know was significant.

Representative William M. ized gamblers to get concessions McCulloch (R., Ohio), the fifth member of the subcommittee, abstained. He said last week

The subcommittee plainly against Justice Douglas. It said soped that its report would bury there was no "creditable evi- the controversy over the outspodence" that he should be im- kenly liberal, four-times-married justice, who is 72 and has been on the high court since

> But Representative Ford imby another panel when Congress reconvenes January 21.

Douglas To Respond Justice Douglas's office announced he would issue a state-

issue after a series of impeachment resolutions were introduced last winter and spring by
conservative Republicans and
ging" his hotel suite.)

Jr. (D., Ind.), a liberal, introduced a successful resolution of his own which referred the case to the Judiciary Committee.

Mr. Jacobs, however, while saying he is neutral on the issue of Justice Douglas's filness, now is also critical of the subcommittee for not taking sworn testimony in public.

Centered On Parvin

The justice's varied off-thebench activities were studied in considerable 'detail in the 924page report, though most of the attention concentrated on his ties to Albert Parvin and the Albert Parvin Foundation.

The foundation, which he left in 1969, paid him \$56,680 between 1960 and 1969. In the same period his salary as a justice totaled \$389,749.26, and his net income from writing and lecturing was \$377,260.19.

Among the items disclosed in the report, which Mr. Celler said was a distillation of 500,000 documents examined by the subcommittee staff, were:

1. That President Johnson, according to a letter from the justice to Robert M. Hutchins, president of the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions, spent two hours with Jmstice Douglas June 8, 1966, most of the time devoted to discussing Vietnam, and gave the justice "a very simple recipe for re-solving the Victnam conflict," which the justice did not feel free to disclose.

Sought Loan

2. That Justice Douglas approached Mr. Parvin about an \$3,000 loan in 1963 to buy some land adjacent to his summer home at Goose Prairie, Wash.

Mr. Parvin warned him against "the resulting innuewos or repercussions as a result of a personal loan from me." The justice then borrowed the mozey from his regular bank.

3. That Justice Douglas apparently believed that the first nounced he would issue a state-ment on the report tomorrow. him to the Parvin Foundation His attorneys had no coronent rns atterneys had no comment today.

The report is to go to the full Judiciary Committee, but that panel, also headed by Mr. Celler, has no meetings scheduled number to the Parvin Foundation and to gambling by Ronald J. Ostrow, of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Parvin Foundation and to gambling by Ronald J. Ostrow, of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Parvin Foundation and to gambling by Ronald J. Ostrow, of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Parvin Foundation and to gambling by Ronald J. Ostrow, of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times Times, in October, 1966, was stimulated by an FBI leak dependent of the Los Angeles Times er, has no meetings scheduled Supreme Court case concerning this week. It got control of the Fred B. Black, an associate of

No Coatacts.

Justice Douglas asserted the FBI-loak theory October 17, 1956, in a letter to Mr. Parvin, adding that he had "had nothing to do with Black, Bobby Baker, or Levinson, who will shortly be indicted, according to common

rumor here."

Mr. Baker was indicted in January, 1967. Edward Levinson was indicted in May, 1967, and convicted in 1968 on a charge of "skimming" Las Vegas gambling profits before paying taxes.

But the CIA-Dominican Republic affair was the most interesting to the investigators, and apparently gave the subcommittee the most difficulty.

The report shows that the Parvin Foundation gave money to the Inter-American Center for Economic and Social Studies in Santo Domingo. The money was to be used in connection with the literary project, in which Justice Douglas took a considerable personal interest in 1963.

CIA Secretive

The report records the CIA's refusal, despite repeated urging from the committee, to indicate the relation of Sacha Volman, a representative of both the foundation and the inter-American eenter, to the CIA.

Mr. Volman, a Romanian refugec, is identified elsewhere in the report in terms which make it appear that he was a CIA agent, assisting President Juan Bosch of the Dominican Republic before the latter's overthrow in late 1963.

In 1964 a House probe into foundations disclosed that another group Mr. Volman ran gol money from the CIA through a foundation, the J. M. Kaplan Fund.

John Bartlow Martin, who was U.S. ambassador in Santo Dom- . ingo at that time, said today in a telephone interview that he recalled Justice Douglas's interest in the literacy project, which also involved the National Association of , Broadcasters, the Peace Corps and the Agency for International Development.

"Was Involved"

"I was very involved and so was Juan Bosch, Volman was involved in everything," Mr. Martin said, indicating that when Mr. Bosch was overthrown September 25, 1963, Mr. Volman rescued the television tapes.

Asked if he knew then that Mr. Volman worked for the CIA, Mr. Martin replied, "I don't think I can answer that." He also said

any CIA funding for the various projects.

A source close to Justice Douglas indicated today that he, too, only learned later of the CIA connection.

The committee concluded that on Mr. Douglas's trips to the Dominican Republic, and in general, "There is no evidence that connects Justice Douglas with alleged criminals and alleged organized-crime activities which afford a basis for a charge of impeachment.'

Clears Douglas

The subcommittee also found no substance to charges that Justice Douglas:

- 1. Improperly failed to disqualify himself from high court cases in which he had an interest. It found that the cases cited, one involving the foundation's relations with a savings and loan institution, were all regarded as "frivolous" by the high court and dismissed routinely, and that it was not customary for justices to step out of such cases.
- 2. Taken a \$5,000 payoff for holding up a court order barring a California osteopath from practicing. The report termed his charge a lie.
- 3. Practiced law by offering advice on constitution-making in the Dominican Republic, and by his connection with the incorponation of the Parvin Foundation and his advice, as president, on its subsequent tax difficulties.
 The report also found no grounds for impeachment in

what it termed alleged "noncriminal misbehavior" involv-

ing:
1. Mr. Douglas's recent book,
"Point of Rebellion," which the
committee said was protected by the First Amendment and, in any case, was a warning of ways to avoid violent revolution.

2. Publication of part of it in Evergreen Review, adjacent to pictures of nudes performing unusual sex acts. The report said the justice had no control over placement of the article.